



From: Manuel Morales admin@temptdestiny.com
Subject: Re: Response to Nobel laureate Anton Zeilinger
Date: June 21, 2023 at 10:32 AM

To: Anton Zeilinger anton.zeilinger@univie.ac.at, Manijeh Razeghi razeghi@northwestern.edu, quest conference questconference86@gmail.com, Paul-Louis Meunier plmeunier@wanadoo.fr, Dr Ferechteh Teherani fhtwin@aol.com, JP jphuignard@free.fr, DEFOUR Martin martin.defour@fr.thalesgroup.com, Alain Aspect alain.aspect@universite-paris-saclay.fr, Tcherniavsky Nicole nicole.tcherniavsky@institutoptique.fr, Anton.Zeilinger@oeaw.ac.at, bobbi_john@jfcbat.com, john@jfcbat.com, anders.irback@cec.lu.se, Mats.Larsson@fysik.su.se, haviland@kth.se, john.wettlaufer@yale.edu, eva.olsson@chalmers.se, hansson@fysik.su.se, ulf.danielsson@physics.uu.se, ellen.moons@kau.se, gunnar.ingelman@physics.uu.se, sabine.hossenfelder@lrz.uni-muenchen.de, Gerard Renger gerardr@vt.edu

Dear Prof. Anton Zeilinger,

The reason I have included you in my emails regarding my previously scheduled participation in the QUEST 2023 event was that your research along with your 2022 Noble Prize colleagues Prof. Alain Aspect and Prof. John F. Clauser had been invalidated by my initial discovery (<https://www.gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Research%20Papers-Unification%20Theories/Download/3571>) of the nonlocal hidden variables of motion aka the variables of a super-deterministic universe that you and your colleagues wittingly chose to ignore. For example your public comments at the APS March 2023 Meeting (timeline 1:38:22): <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LOHeoW9GIVQ>

... or Prof. Alain Aspect's bias regarding superdeterminism via "the so-called freedom of choice loophole": <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1140/epjd/s10053-022-00557-6>
... or expressed as "the free-will loophole": <https://physics.aps.org/articles/v8/123>

A super-deterministic universe predetermined that the beam-splitter used in the Bell-type experiments will produce the effects obtained. By applying a mathematical interpretation, Bell's theorem or CHSH theorem, to empirical results does not change the predetermined mechanics of how the evidence was caused. Case in point, If you and your colleagues chose to remove the indirect selection mechanism, i.e., beam splitters – the act of motion (photon beam) paired with more-than-one potential (beam splitters), from your experiments you would not get the predetermined results that you obtained with the inclusion of the beam-splitters. In other words, the experimenter's choice regarding all local investigations is predetermined by nature, not by the experimenter.

This and other topics I would have presented for discussion at the QUEST 2023 meeting if the shenanigans that took place that prevented me from participating in the same session as Prof. Aspect did not occur. After what has taken place with the publisher of my "Assume Higgs Boson Discovery Proved Einstein Right" paper, it will be interesting to see how long it will take for the General Science Journal website to also be hacked now that I pointed this out.

Nonetheless, the fact that you and your colleagues and the entire human race cannot refute, although falsifiable via the Final Selection Experiment, the predetermined laws of nature speak volumes of its validity. Unfortunately, this also means that the empirical discovery of the nonlocal hidden variables of motion that was applied, published, and subsequently ignored (not a practice of science) by the Nobel Committee at that time and since then, 2012 marks the beginning of when science stopped being a verifiable study of nature.

As Albert Einstein had predicted nearly a hundred years ago, quantum mechanics is indeed an incomplete theory.

Regards,

Manuel Morales
admin@temptdestiny.com

Retired Adjunct Professor
Rowan College at Burlington County
(Formally Burlington County College)

On Jun 18, 2023, at 8:14 AM, Anton Zeilinger <anton.zeilinger@univie.ac.at> wrote:

Please cancel my name from your mailing list

Thanks you so much

On 18.06.2023 00:48, Manuel Morales wrote:

FYI

In my previous emails regarding my participation in the QUEST 2023 event, I referenced my peer-reviewed paper "Assumed Higgs Boson Discovery Proved Einstein Right" which revealed the omission error (experimenter bias) that

the Higgs boson discovery is based on.

On June 14, 2023, I became aware that the publisher of my paper had their website hacked (see below). However, if you wish to review or download my first application of the discovery of the nonlocal hidden variables of motion, you can find it at
Academia.edu

https://www.academia.edu/3292551/Assumed_Higgs_Boson_Discovery_Proved_Einstein_Right

Please let me know if you have any questions or would like for me to forward a copy.

Regards,

Manuel Morales
admin@temptdestiny.com

Retired Adjunct Professor
Rowan College at Burlington County
(Formally Burlington County College)

Begin forwarded message:

From: Fundamental Journals
<ijfps@fundamentaljournals.org>

Subject: Re: International Journal of Fundamental Physical Sciences - Website Down!
Date: June 14, 2023 at 9:36:30 PM EDT
To: Manuel Morales
<admin@temptdestiny.com>

Dear Manuel Morales,

Unfortunately, despite the existence of security networks by OJS and our team, the journal has been attacked by some attackers and they have even asked for a large amount of money in the form of bitcoins, but our team is trying to solve this problem.

The journal website will surely be up and running again soon after this problem is fixed.

Thank you for your patience.

Ko. Nakajima (中島)
Managing Editor,
Editorial Office (Japan office)
Fundamental Journals

ijfps@fundamentaljournals.org
<https://www.fundamentaljournals.org/>

On Wed, Jun 14, 2023 at 10:38 PM Manuel Morales
<admin@temptdestiny.com>

wrote:
Dear Bijan,

Are you aware that as of yesterday your website is down? - International Journal of Fundamental Physical Sciences (IJFPS)

Regards,

Manuel Morales
E = G^2

<http://TemptDestiny.com>
admin@temptdestiny.com